

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,256	12/29/2003	Dennis M. O'Connor	884.A50US1	1729
21186	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			SUGENT, JAMES F	
	LIS, MN 55402	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,256	O'CONNOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James F. Sugent	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	iaust 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is sent in response to Applicant's Communication received August 21, 2006 for application number 10/750,256 originally filed December 29, 2003. The Office hereby acknowledges receipt of the following and placed of record in file: unamended claims 1-42 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to a signal directly or indirectly
by claiming a medium and the Specification recites (page 29, lines 12-26) evidence where the
signal(s) is defined as a "wave" (such as a carrier wave over the air or through water). In that
event, the claims are directed to a form of energy, which at present, the office feels does not fall
into a category of invention. The following link on the World Wide Web is for the United States

Patent And Trademark Office (USPTO) policy on 35 U.S.C. §101.

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101 20051026.pdf>

15

20

25

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-30 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bose et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0221185 A1) (hereinafter referred to as Bose).

As to claim 1, Bose discloses a method comprising: initiating a power increase for a potentially needed functional unit to an operable power level (Bose discloses a signal [134] sent from a unit-level activity prediction logic [130] to target execution units [118, 120, 122, et al.] to change from a "sleep" or "power-down" state to a "wake up" state; paragraph 37, lines 20-28 and paragraph 38), if the potentially needed functional unit has a present power level that is lower than the operable power level, wherein the potentially needed functional unit is identified based on a determination of whether the potentially needed functional unit is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within an instruction cache (Examiner would like to take this opportunity to re-define the possible previous understanding of instruction cache in the previous Office Action. Bose discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decodedispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT"; paragraph

5

10

15

20

37) (Bose discloses the prediction logic [130] determining if execution units are needed; paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 2, Bose discloses the method further comprising: fetching one or more software instructions into the instruction cache engine (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from an instruction cache 102 but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to a processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24).

As to claim 3, Bose discloses the method wherein fetching the one or more instructions comprises fetching the one or more instructions into a conventional cache (paragraph 37).

As to claim 4, Bose discloses the method wherein fetching the one or more instructions comprises fetching the one or more instructions into a trace cache (paragraphs 37 and 39).

As to claim 5, Bose discloses the method further comprising: fetching a line of one or more software instructions into the instruction cache (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from an instruction cache but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24); generating and storing an information vector (132) for the line, wherein the information vector identifies a set of functional units that are operable to execute the one or more software instructions (paragraph 42); and identifying the potentially needed functional unit based on the information vector (with request signals 134; paragraph 40).

10

15

20

As to claim 6, Bose discloses the method further comprising: indicating (signaling) power status information (via signal 134) for a set of functional units (paragraph 40), wherein the power status information indicates whether a functional unit, within the set of functional units, has a present power level that places the functional unit in an operable power state or a low power state (paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 7, Bose discloses the method further comprising: incrementing a use counter (168) for a functional unit when a software instruction is fetched into the instruction cache, and when the functional unit is operable to execute at least part of the software instruction (paragraphs 46 and 51).

As to claim 8, Bose discloses the method further comprising: decrementing the use counter (168) for the functional unit when the software instruction is eliminated from the instruction cache (paragraph 46 and 50).

As to claim 9, Bose discloses the method further comprising: selecting one or more selected lines of software instructions stored within the cache (paragraph 39, lines 1-3); and identifying (predicting) the potentially needed functional unit as a functional unit that is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the one or more selected lines (paragraph 39, lines 3-24 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 10, Bose discloses the method further comprising: activating (fetching and processing) a line of software instructions stored within the cache (paragraph 39, lines 1-24); and identifying (predicting) the potentially needed functional unit as a functional unit that is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the line (paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

10

15

20

As to claim 11, Bose discloses the method further comprising: identifying (predicting) an unneeded functional unit as a functional unit that is not operable to execute the at least one software instruction (paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5); and initiating (signaling via signal 134) a power decrease for the unneeded functional unit, if the unneeded functional unit has a second present power level that is greater than or equal to a second operable power level (paragraphs 39-40 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 12, Bose discloses the method wherein initiating the power decrease comprises: initiating (signaling via signal 134) the power decrease for the unneeded functional unit after execution is complete of any in-flight instructions (branched) that use the unneeded functional unit (paragraph 37, lines 20-28 and paragraph 42).

As to claim 13, Bose discloses the method wherein initiating the power increase comprises: initiating (signaling via signal 134) the power increase for a functional unit selected from a group of functional units that includes one or more floating-point units, multipliers, dividers, shifters, digital signal processors, co-processors, application specific integrated circuits, data processing engines, debug logic blocks, encryption units and key-generation units (paragraph 37).

As to claim 14, Bose discloses the method wherein initiating the power increase comprises: determining a selected operable power level from one of multiple operable power levels ("sleep," "power-down" or "wake up"), wherein the selected operable power level is selected based on an expected result latency; and initiating the power increase to the selected operable power level (paragraphs 28 and 42).

5

10

15

20

As to claim 15, Bose discloses a method comprising: fetching one or more lines of software instructions into an instruction cache (IUNIT), which is accessible to a processing engine (130) (Examiner would like to take this opportunity to re-define the possible previous understanding of instruction cache in the previous Office Action. Bose discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decode-dispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT"; paragraph 37) (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from an instruction cache but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to a processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24); identifying (predicting) potentially needed functional units as functional units that are operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the instruction cache (Bose discloses the prediction logic [130] determining if execution units are needed; paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5 and paragraph 42), wherein a functional unit includes a portion of hardware (118, 120, 122, et al.), which is operable to perform a function in response to special instructions received from the processing engine (paragraph 39, lines 17-24); identifying (predicting) unneeded functional units as functional units that are not operable to execute a software instruction stored within the instruction cache (paragraph 41, lines 1-8 and paragraph 42); initiating a power increase ("wake up" signal via signal 134 from the prediction unit 130) for selected ones of the potentially needed functional units that are in a low power state (paragraph

5

10

15

20

37, lines 20-28); and initiating a power decrease ("sleep" or "power-down" signal via signal 134 from the prediction unit 130) for selected ones of the unneeded functional units that are in an operable power state (paragraph 37, lines 20-28).

As to claim 16, Bose discloses the method further comprising: generating and storing (concatenated from the instruction fetch address register IFAR field) an information vector (132) for selected ones of the one or more lines, wherein the information vector identifies a set of functional units that are operable to execute software instructions within a line; and wherein identifying the potentially needed functional unit is performed based on the information vector (paragraph 42).

As to claim 17, Bose discloses the method wherein fetching the one or more instructions comprises fetching the one or more instructions into a conventional cache (paragraph 37).

As to claim 18, Bose discloses the method wherein fetching the one or more instructions comprises fetching the one or more instructions into a trace cache (paragraphs 37 and 39).

As to claim 19, Bose discloses the method wherein initiating the power increase comprises: initiating (signaling via signal 134) the power increase for a functional unit selected from a group of functional units that includes one or more floating-point units, multipliers, dividers, shifters, digital signal processors, co-processors, application specific integrated circuits, data processing engines, debug logic blocks, encryption units and key-generation units (paragraph 37).

As to claim 20, Bose discloses the method wherein initiating the power increase comprises: determining a selected operable power level from one of multiple operable power levels ("sleep," "power-down" or "wake up"), wherein the selected operable power level is

5

10

15

20

selected based on an expected result latency; and initiating the power increase to the selected operable power level (paragraphs 28 and 42).

As to claim 21, Bose discloses a computer-readable medium having program instructions stored thereon to perform a method, which when executed within an electronic system, results in: identifying (predicting) a potentially needed functional unit as a functional unit that is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within an instruction cache (Examiner would like to take this opportunity to re-define the possible previous understanding of instruction cache in the previous Office Action. Bose discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decode-dispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT"; paragraph 37) (Bose discloses the prediction logic [130] determining if execution units are needed; paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5 and paragraph 42); and initiating a power increase ("wake up" signal via signal 134 from the prediction unit 130) for the potentially needed functional unit (118, 120, 122, et al.), if the potentially needed functional unit has a present power level that is lower than an operable power level (paragraph 37, lines 20-28 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 22, Bose discloses the computer-readable medium wherein executing the program instructions further results in: fetching a line of one or more software instructions into the instruction cache (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from an instruction cache 102 but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction

5

10

15

20

cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to a processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24); generating and storing (concatenated from the instruction fetch address register IFAR field) an information vector (132) for the line, wherein the information vector identifies a set of functional units that are operable to execute the one or more software instructions; and wherein identifying the potentially needed functional unit is performed based on the information vector (paragraph 42).

As to claim 23, Bose discloses the computer-readable medium wherein executing the program instructions further results in: selecting one or more selected lines of software instructions stored within the cache (paragraph 39, lines 1-3); and wherein identifying (predicting) the potentially needed functional unit includes identifying the potentially needed functional unit as a functional unit that is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the one or more selected lines (paragraph 39, lines 3-24 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 24, Bose discloses the computer-readable medium wherein executing the program instructions further results in: identifying (predicting) an unneeded functional unit as a functional unit that is not operable to execute the at least one software instruction (paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5); and initiating (signaling via signal 134) a power decrease for the unneeded functional unit, if the unneeded functional unit has a second present power level that is greater than or equal to a second operable power level (paragraphs 39-40 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 25, Bose discloses the computer-readable medium wherein initiating the power increase comprises: initiating (signaling via signal 134) the power increase for a

5

10

15

20

functional unit selected from a group of functional units that includes one or more floating-point units, multipliers, dividers, shifters, digital signal processors, co-processors, application specific integrated circuits, data processing engines, debug logic blocks, encryption units and keygeneration units (paragraph 37).

As to claim 26, Bose discloses an apparatus comprising: one or more functional units (execution units 118, 120, 122); an instruction cache (Examiner would like to take this opportunity to re-define the possible previous understanding of instruction cache in the previous Office Action. Bose discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decode-dispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT"; paragraph 37); a processing engine (130), which is operable to access software instructions stored within the instruction cache (IUNIT), and send one or more special instructions to the one or more functional units in order to execute at least some of the software instructions (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from the instruction cache of IUNIT but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to a processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24); and one or more power controllers (150 within 130), which are operable to control (send "sleep," "power-down," or "wake up" signals via 134) whether or not an operable power level or a low power level is provided to selected ones of the one or more functional units (paragraphs 40 and 42), based on whether or not selected ones of the one or

Application/Control Number: 10/750,256

Art Unit: 2116

5

10

15

20

more functional units are operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the instruction cache (paragraph 37, lines 20-28 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

As to claim 27, Bose discloses the apparatus wherein at least one of the one or more functional units includes an internal functional unit, which is located on a same chip as the processing engine (Bose discloses the processor being a pipelined processor such that the functional units will contain multiple stage units for processing; paragraphs 37 and 62).

As to claim 28, Bose discloses the apparatus wherein at least one of the one or more functional units includes an external functional unit, which is not located on a same chip as the processing engine (Any other unit used for processing not found within the processing engine 130; paragraph 37).

As to claim 29, Bose discloses the apparatus wherein the instruction cache includes a conventional cache (paragraph 37).

As to claim 30, Bose discloses the apparatus wherein the instruction cache includes a trace cache (paragraphs 37 and 39).

As to claim 34, Bose discloses the apparatus further comprising: a predecoder (part of IDU 114), which is operable to evaluate selected ones of the software instructions to determine which functional units will be needed to execute an instruction (paragraph 42).

As to claim 35, Bose discloses the apparatus further comprising: a battery interface, operable to provide power to the one or more functional units (paragraph 6).

As to claim 36, Bose discloses the apparatus further comprising: a wireless medium interface, operable to enable signals to be sent to and received over a wireless medium (Bose

Application/Control Number: 10/750,256

Art Unit: 2116

discloses laptops, portable and mobile systems that commonly have wireless medium interfaces; paragraph 6).

Page 13

As to claim 37, Bose discloses the apparatus further comprising: a network interface, operable to enable signals to be sent to and received from a network (Bose discloses laptops, portable and mobile systems that commonly have network interfaces; paragraph 6).

As to claim 38, Bose discloses the apparatus wherein the one or more functional units comprise: one or more functional units selected from a group of functional units that includes one or more floating-point units, multipliers, dividers, shifters, digital signal processors, coprocessors, application specific integrated circuits, data processing engines, debug logic blocks, encryption units and key-generation units (paragraph 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

15

10

5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20

25

Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bose (as cited above) as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Theis (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0251621 A1) (hereinafter referred to as Theis).

As to claim 31, Bose discloses an instruction cache (IUNIT) with an array (branch history table within 110) but fails to disclose the instruction cache comprising: the array having storage locations; and, a mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations within the array using an

10

15

20

enable signal, which has a value that results from shifting information within one or more shift registers.

Theis teaches a cache (heap-address cache) that contains an array (stack) of storage locations (addresses) that sequentially accesses (circular stack which sequentially accesses said addresses) using an enable signal (stack pointer or symbolic variable; paragraph 81) that accesses the stack which has a value (count) that results from shifting within one or more shift registers (paragraph 218). Theis also has the added benefit of accessing addresses using both conventional machine code as high level programming languages (paragraphs 78-81).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art having the teachings of Bose and Theis at the time the invention was made, to modify the instruction cache (IUNIT) of Bose to include the ability to store and access an array (stack) of addresses sequentially by use of shift registers as taught by Theis. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this combination of having an instruction cache that can store and access an array (stack) of addresses sequentially by use of shift registers in view of the teachings of Theis, as doing so would give the added benefit of accessing addresses using both conventional machine code as high level programming languages (as taught by Theis above).

As to claim 32, Theis teaches the apparatus wherein the mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations includes a plurality of first latches, within which a first portion of the enable signal is stored, and wherein the first portion of the enable signal is used to activate a selected word line within the array (Theis teaches the stack of addresses being sequentially accessed by use of a stack pointer which uses a latch [multiplexor] to select the stack item; paragraph 218).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,256

Art Unit: 2116

5

10

15

20

As to claim 33, Theis teaches the apparatus wherein the mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations includes a plurality of second latches, within which a second portion of the enable signal is stored, wherein the second portion of the enable signal is used to select a portion of the selected word line (Theis teaches the stack of addresses being sequentially accessed by use of a stack pointer which uses a latch [multiplexor] to select the stack item; paragraph 218).

Claims 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bose (as cited above) in view of Theis (also cited above).

As to claim 39, Bose discloses an apparatus comprising: one or more functional units (execution units 118, 120, 122); an instruction cache (Examiner would like to take this opportunity to re-define the possible previous understanding of instruction cache in the previous Office Action. Bose discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decode-dispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT"; paragraph 37); a processing engine (130), which is operable to access software instructions stored within the instruction cache (IUNIT), and send one or more special instructions to the one or more functional units in order to execute at least some of the software instructions (Bose discloses machine instructions being fetched from the instruction cache of IUNIT but said instructions are inherently fetched from system memory into the instruction cache of a processor and essentially made accessible to a processing engine 130 for prediction/evaluation for power management; paragraph 39, lines 1-24).

5

10

15

20

Bose discloses an instruction cache (IUNIT) with an array (branch history table within 110) but fails to disclose the instruction cache comprising: the array having storage locations; and, a mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations within the array using an enable signal, which has a value that results from shifting information within one or more shift registers.

Theis teaches a cache (heap-address cache) that contains an array (stack) of storage locations (addresses) that sequentially accesses (circular stack which sequentially accesses said addresses) using an enable signal (stack pointer or symbolic variable; paragraph 81) that accesses the stack which has a value (count) that results from shifting within one or more shift registers (paragraph 218). Theis also has the added benefit of accessing addresses using both conventional machine code as high level programming languages (paragraphs 78-81).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art having the teachings of Bose and Theis at the time the invention was made, to modify the instruction cache (IUNIT) of Bose to include the ability to store and access an array (stack) of addresses sequentially by use of shift registers as taught by Theis. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this combination of having an instruction cache that can store and access an array (stack) of addresses sequentially by use of shift registers in view of the teachings of Theis, as doing so would give the added benefit of accessing addresses using both conventional machine code as high level programming languages (as taught by Theis above).

As to claim 40, Theis teaches the apparatus wherein the mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations includes a plurality of first latches (registers), within which a first portion (entry x) of the enable signal is stored, and wherein the first portion of the enable signal is used to activate a selected word line within the array (Theis teaches the stack of addresses being

10

15

20

sequentially accessed by use of a stack pointer which uses a latch [register] to select the stack item; paragraph 218).

As to claim 41, Theis teaches the apparatus wherein the mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations includes a plurality of second latches (registers), within which a second portion (entry y) of the enable signal is stored, wherein the second portion of the enable signal is used to select a portion of the selected word line (Theis teaches the stack of addresses being sequentially accessed by use of a stack pointer which uses a latch [register] to select the stack item; paragraph 218).

As to claim 42, Bose discloses the apparatus further comprising: one or more power controllers (150 within 130), which are operable to control (send "sleep," "power-down," or "wake up" signals via 134) whether or not an operable power level or a low power level is provided to selected ones of the one or more functional units (paragraphs 40 and 42), based on whether or not selected ones of the one or more functional units are operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within the instruction cache (paragraph 37, lines 20-28 and paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Examiner would like the Applicant to be aware of the restructured rejection arguments above; specifically, the re-definition of the instruction cache (hereinabove called IUNIT). No new art has been used. The above mention re-definition is repeated here: Bose

5

10

15

20

discloses "an instruction cache (ICACHE) 102, an instruction fetch address register (IFAR) 104, an instruction buffer (IBUF) 106, multiplexor 108, branch history table and branch target buffer logic BHT/BTB 110, a branch unit 112, an instruction decode-dispatch unit IDU 114 and an issue queue 116 form a typical instruction unit (I-Unit)" wherein said instruction cache is the "instruction unit" and hereinafter referred to as "IUNIT" (paragraph 37).

Page 18

Applicant has argued in re claim 1 that Bose does not disclose "the potentially needed functional unit is identified based on a determination of whether the potentially needed functional unit is operable to execute at least one software instruction stored within an instruction cache." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. If a functional unit is "potentially needed," it is capable of being or becoming into use. The prediction logic (130) of Bose does this very process of predicting whether functional unit will be needed or not (paragraph 40, line 1 thru paragraph 41, line 5 and paragraph 42). Though some additional inventive steps are included within Bose, the outcome is the same. As for the "determination" whether the needed function is operable to execute the instruction, this determination is made when the functional units wait until they are "selected for issue" wherein selection necessitates determining (paragraph 39, lines 17-24). As for "execute... software instruction stored within an instruction cache," the UV/IFAR register (136 via input 132), table index (138) and UHT (140) within the prediction unit (130) predict which functional units will and will not be needed for execution of the instructions within the cache (IUNIT) which necessitates access to said instructions (hundreds of process cycles in advance) as well as current machine status (paragraph 42, lines 1-14).

Applicant has also argued in re claim 15 that Bose does not disclose "fetching one or more lines of software instructions into an instruction cache, which is accessible to a processing

Application/Control Number: 10/750,256

Page 19

Art Unit: 2116

15

20

engine." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The rejection argument above has been restructured to read "inherently" instead of "as is known in the art" since instructions are inherently fetched from main memory of a system and placed into an instruction cache.

Furthermore, the Examiner would like to point out a definition from "IEEE 100: The

Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, 7th Ed." (IEEE 100: The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, 7th Ed.; IEEE Press Publications; 2000; ISBN: 0-7381-2601-2) (hereinafter referred to as IEEE). IEEE recites a "fetch" as: "that portion of the instruction cycle in which the next instruction is loaded from memory into the processor" (page 426). Therefore, instructions are inherently fetched from the system memory and placed into an instruction cache.

Applicant has also argued in re claim 15 that Bose does not disclose "wherein a functional unit includes a portion of hardware, which is operable to perform a function in response to special instructions received from the processing engine." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Bose discloses the functional units receiving "special instructions" (request signals 134) from the processing engine (GRCU 150 within prediction unit 130) to change the power state of the functional unit wherein the functional units (target [gatable] units; paragraph 37; lines 20-28) are inclusive of a portion of hardware (Fig. 5A) to alter the power state of the functional unit (paragraphs 44, 45 and 52).

Furthermore in claim 15, the Applicant argues that Bose fails to disclose "identifying unneeded functional units as functional units that are not operable to execute a software instruction stored within the instruction cache." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The "identifying unneeded functional units" is inherently inclusive of "predicting" such that if it is

5

10

15

20

predicted that a functional unit will not be needed, it is identifying the unneeded units (paragraph 42). The point that "functional units that are not operable to execute a software instruction stored within the instruction cache" has been similarly argued above and is rejected for that reason.

Furthermore in claim 15, the Applicant argues that Bose fails to disclose "initiating a power increase for selected ones of the potentially needed functional units that are in a low power state" and "initiating a power decrease for selected ones of the unneeded functional units that are in an operable power state." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Bose discloses functional units (target [gatable] units; paragraph 37, lines 20-28) receiving turn-on and turn-off requests to power units on and off (paragraphs 44). Powering said units "on" necessitates increasing the power. Also, powering units "off" necessitates decreasing the power.

Applicant has also argued claims 21 and 26 using similar arguments as shown above for claims 1 and 15. Therefore, claims 21 and 26 remain rejected for the same reasons argued above.

Applicant has argued in re claim 39 that neither Bose nor Theis disclose the instruction cache comprising "a mechanism to sequentially access the storage locations within the array using an enable signal, which has a value that results from shifting information within one or more shift registers." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Theis discloses a cache (heap-address cache) that is realized as an array (circular stack) of storage locations (addresses) that uses an enable signal (stack pointer) to sequentially access the storage locations by incrementing/decrementing the enable signal (stack pointer) to the next entry in the stack (paragraph 218). Furthermore, Theis discloses a stack entry being read or popped down ("or shifted down as in a shift register") by one position (in sequence) to the next entry wherein said enable signal value (stack pointer) is decremented/incremented (paragraph 218).

5

10

15

Furthermore in claim 39, Applicant argues that Bose and Theis teach different things.

However, Bose discloses a cache to be accessed and Theis teaches a cache accessing process and therefore they both relate to similar things.

Applicant has also argued claim 31 using similar arguments as shown above for claims 39. Therefore, claim 31 remains rejected for the same reasons argued above.

Dependent claims 2-14 are dependent on claim 1 and are further rejected on their dependence on claim 1 inclusive of the rejections hereinabove.

Dependent claims 16-20 are dependent on claim 15 and are further rejected on their dependence on claim 15 inclusive of the rejections hereinabove.

Dependent claims 22-25 are dependent on claim 21 and are further rejected on their dependence on claim 21 inclusive of the rejections hereinabove.

Dependent claims 27-38 are dependent on claim 26 and are further rejected on their dependence on claim 26 inclusive of the rejections hereinabove.

Dependent claims 40-42 are dependent on claim 41 and are further rejected on their dependence on claim 41 inclusive of the rejections hereinabove.

The reconstructed rejections above also overcome the claim objections (claims 2, 15, 18, 22, 30, 32, 33, 36 and 38) submitted in reference to arguments submitted for Applicant's assumption that the Examiner took Official Notice.

20 Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

5

10

15

20

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to James Sugent whose telephone number is (571) 272-5726. The Examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 4PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/750,256 Page 23

Art Unit: 2116

5

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call (800) 786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

James F. Sugent Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2116 October 18, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100